| Item No.<br>7.              | Classification:<br>Open | Date:<br>24 October 2012       | Meeting Name:<br>Planning Sub Committee B |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|
| Report title:               |                         | Development Management         |   |  |
| Ward(s) or groups affected: |                         | All                            |   |  |
| From:                       |                         | Director of Corporate Strategy |   |  |

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 1. That the determination of planning applications, or formal observations and comments, the instigation of enforcement action and the receipt of the reports included in the attached items be considered.
- 2. That the decisions made on the planning applications be subject to the conditions and/or made for the reasons set out in the attached reports unless otherwise stated.
- 3. That where reasons for decisions or conditions are not included or not as included in the reports relating to an individual item, they be clearly specified.

## **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

4. The council's powers to consider planning business are detailed in Part 3F which describes the role and functions of the planning committee and planning subcommittees. These were agreed by the annual meeting of the council on 23 May 2012. The matters reserved to the planning committee and planning subcommittees exercising planning functions are described in part 3F of the Southwark Council constitution.

#### **KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION**

- 5. In respect of the attached planning committee items members are asked, where appropriate -
- 6. To determine those applications in respect of site(s) within the borough, subject where applicable, to the consent of the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government and any directions made by the Mayor of London.
- 7. To give observations on applications in respect of which the council is not the planning authority in planning matters but which relate to site(s) within the borough, or where the site(s) is outside the borough but may affect the amenity of residents within the borough.
- 8. To receive for information any reports on the previous determination of applications, current activities on site, or other information relating to specific planning applications requested by members.

- 9. Each of the following items are preceded by a map showing the location of the land/property to which the report relates. Following the report, there is a draft decision notice detailing the officer's recommendation indicating approval or refusal. Where a refusal is recommended the draft decision notice will detail the reasons for such refusal.
- 10. Applicants have the right to appeal to Planning Inspector against a refusal of planning permission and against any condition imposed as part of permission. Costs are incurred in presenting the Councils case at appeal which maybe substantial if the matter is dealt with at a public inquiry.
- 11. The sanctioning of enforcement action can also involve costs such as process serving, court costs and of legal representation.
- 12. Where either party is felt to have acted unreasonably in an appeal the inspector can make an award of costs against the offending party.
- 13. All legal/Counsel fees and costs as well as awards of costs against the council are borne by the regeneration and neighbourhood's budget.

## **Community impact statement**

14 Community impact considerations are contained within each item.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY ADVICE FROM OTHER OFFICERS

# **Director of Legal Services**

- 15. A resolution to grant planning permission shall mean that the development & building control manager is authorised to grant planning permission. The resolution does not itself constitute the permission and only the formal document authorised by the committee and issued under the signature of the head of development management shall constitute a planning permission. Any additional conditions required by the committee will be recorded in the minutes and the final planning permission issued will reflect the requirements of the planning committee.
- 16. A resolution to grant planning permission subject to legal agreement shall mean that the head of development management is authorised to issue a planning permission subject to the applicant and any other necessary party entering into a written agreement in a form of words prepared by the director of legal services, and which is satisfactory to the head of development management. Developers meet the council's legal costs of such agreements. Such an agreement shall be entered into under section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 or under another appropriate enactment as shall be determined by the director of legal services. The planning permission will not be issued unless such an agreement is completed.
- 17. Section 70 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 as amended requires the council to have regard to the provisions of the development plan, so far as material to the application, and to any other material considerations when dealing with applications for planning permission. Where there is any conflict with any policy contained in the development plan, the conflict must be resolved in favour

of the policy which is contained in the last document to be adopted, approved or published, as the case may be (s38(5) Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).

- 18. Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 provides that where, in making any determination under the planning Acts, regard is to be had to the development plan, the determination shall be made in accordance with the plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise. The development plan is currently Southwark's Core Strategy adopted by the council in April 2011, saved policies contained in the Southwark Plan 2007, the Where there is any conflict with any policy contained in the development plan, the conflict must be resolved in favour of the policy which is contained in the last document to be adopted, approved or published, as the case may be (s38(5) Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004).
- 19. On 15 January 2012 section 143 of the Localism Act 2011 came into force which provides that local finance considerations (such as government grants and other financial assistance such as New Homes Bonus) and monies received through CIL (including the Mayoral CIL) are a material consideration to be taken into account in the determination of planning applications in England. However, the weight to be attached to such matters remains a matter for the decision-maker.
- 20. Government policy on planning obligations is contained in the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister Circular 05/2005. Provisions of legal agreements must fairly and reasonably relate to the provisions of the development plan and to planning considerations affecting the land. The obligation must also be such as a reasonable planning authority, duly appreciating its statutory duties can properly impose, i.e. it must not be so unreasonable that no reasonable authority could have imposed it. Before resolving to grant planning permission subject to a legal agreement members should therefore satisfy themselves that the subject matter of the proposed agreement will meet these tests. From 6 April 2010 the Community Infrastructure Levy regulations (CIL) have given these policy tests legal force.

Regulation 122 provides that "a planning obligation may only constitute a reason for granting planning permission if the obligation is:

- a. necessary to make to the development acceptable in planning terms;
- b. directly related to the development; and
- c. fairly and reasonably related to the scale and kind to the development."
- 21. Government policy on planning obligations is contained in the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister Circular 05/2005. Provisions of legal agreements must fairly and reasonably relate to the provisions of the development plan and to planning considerations affecting the land. The obligation must also be such as a reasonable planning authority, duly appreciating its statutory duties can properly impose, i.e. it must not be so unreasonable that no reasonable authority could have imposed it. Before resolving to grant planning permission subject to a legal agreement members should therefore satisfy themselves that the subject matter of the proposed agreement will meet these tests.

- 22. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) came into force on 27 March 2012. The NPPF replaces previous government guidance including all PPGs and PPSs. For the purpose of decision-taking policies in the Core Strategy (and the London Plan) should not be considered out of date simply because they were adopted prior to publication of the NPPF. For 12 months from the day of publication, decision-takers may continue to give full weight to relevant policies adopted in accordance with the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (PCPA) 2004 even if there is a limited degree of conflict with the NPPF.
- 23. In other cases and following and following the 12 month period, due weight should be given to relevant policies in existing plans according to their degree of consistency with the NPPF. This is the approach to be taken when considering saved plan policies under the Southwark Plan 2007. The approach to be taken is that the closer the policies in the Southwark Plan to the policies in the NPPF, the greater the weight that may be given.

#### BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

| Background Papers  | Held At                              | Contact  |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Council Assembly Agenda June 27<br>2007 and Council Assembly Agenda<br>January 30 2008 |                                      | Kenny Uzodike<br>020 7525 7236                                       |
| Each planning committee item has a separate planning case file                         | 160 Tooley Street,<br>London SE1 2QH | The named case<br>Officer as listed or<br>Gary Rice<br>020 7525 5437 |

## **APPENDICES**

| No.  | Title |
|------|-------|
| None |       |

# **AUDIT TRAIL**

| Lead Officer  | Ian Millichap, Constitutional Manager           |                 |                   |  |  |  |
|---|---|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Report Author   | Kenny Uzodike, Assistant Constitutional Officer |                 |                   |  |  |  |
|   | Suzan Yildiz, Senior Planning Lawyer            |                 |                   |  |  |  |
| Version   | Final   |                 |                   |  |  |  |
| Dated   | 7 July 2012                                     |                 |                   |  |  |  |
| Key Decision  | No  |                 |                   |  |  |  |
| CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET |   |                 |                   |  |  |  |
| MEMBER  |   |                 |                   |  |  |  |
| Officer Title   |   | Comments sought | Comments included |  |  |  |
| Director of Legal Services                                |   | Yes             | Yes               |  |  |  |
| Head of Developme   | ent Management                                  | No              | No                |  |  |  |
| Cabinet Member  |   | No              | No                |  |  |  |
| Date final report s                                       | 6 July 2012                                     |                 |                   |  |  |  |